## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MONG TRAN,

Petitioner,

v. Civil No.: 1:25-cv-01598-JRR

NIKITA BAKER, et al.,

Respondents.

## ORDER CORRECTING MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER AT ECF NO. 26

The court has been made aware of two clerical errors at page 4 of its Memorandum Opinion and Order at ECF No. 26. Pursuant to Rule 60(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is this 24<sup>th</sup> day of July 2025 **ORDERED** that the Memorandum Opinion and Order at ECF No. 26 is modified as set forth below in underlined and bold-faced typesetting, and otherwise remains in full force and effect. For clarity, the court sets out below the corrected paragraph in its entirety:

According to Petitioner, she was in custody at ERO Baltimore when the instant Petition was filed on May 27,  $\underline{2025}$ . Pet., ECF No. 16 10.) After her initial detention at ERO Baltimore, she was transferred to ICE detention facilities in Louisiana and Arizona. *Id.* As of July 1, 2025, she was detained at the Northwest ICE Processing Center in Tacoma, Washington. (Pet., ECF No. 16 10; Burki Decl., ECF No. 22-6 13.) As of the date of the hearing, Petitioner had been in ICE detention for 169 days (her period of detention from April 16, 2004, until issuance of her OSUP plus May 12, 2025, to the hearing date, July 23, 2025).

<sup>9</sup> The Government does not challenge this assertion. The court thus concludes that it has proper jurisdiction over this action.

/S/

Julie R. Rubin
United States District Judge